

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.	:	10/588,155	Conf. No.	:	4105
Applicant	:	KAGAYA, Makoto	Filed	:	August 1, 2006
Examiner	:	ZIA, SYED	TC/A.U.	:	2431
Docket No.	:	MIY.001.0045.PC	Customer No.	:	58789
Title	:	SECRET INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SCHEME BASED ON SECRET SHARING SCHEME			

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181

Applicant respectfully petitions the Commissioner and requests that the finality of the Office Action of August 17, 2010 be withdrawn.

The facts are as follows:

1. On March 2, 2010, the Office issued a first non-Final Office Action including a single rejection of claims 1 – 16 under 35 USC § 102.

2. In response to the first Office Action of March 2, 2010, Applicant submitted a reply on June 2, 2010. Applicant's reply of June 2, 2010 included a single amendment to claim 8 to correct a minor grammatical error. Applicant otherwise traversed the rejections under 35 USC § 102.

3. In response to Applicant's reply of June 2, 2010, on August 17, 2010 the Office issued a second Final Office Action maintaining the rejection of claims 1 – 16 under 35 USC § 102 and further including a new rejection of independent claim 16 under 35 USC § 101.

"Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in

Application No.: 10/588,155
Inventor: KAGAYA
Docket No.: MIY.001.0045.PC

37 CFR 1.17(p).”¹

In the instant case, Applicant respectfully submits that the new grounds of rejection to reject independent claim 16 under 35 USC § 101 (alleged non-statutory subject matter) were not based on information submitted in an IDS, nor were such new grounds to reject independent claim 16 necessitated by an amendment of independent claim 16 – independent claim 16 was not amended in Applicant’s reply of June 2, 2010.

For at least the above reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the Office erred in making the Office Action of August 17, 2010 final and respectfully requests that the instant petition be granted and the finality of the Office action of August 17, 2010 withdrawn.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including Extension of Time fees, to Deposit Account 14.1437. Please credit any excess fees to such account.

Respectfully submitted,

NDQ&M Watchstone LLP



S. Peter Konzel

Registration No.: 53,152

Customer No.: 58789
300 New Jersey Ave NW
5th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001
Phone: (202) 659-0100
Fax: (202) 659-0105

Dated: November 17, 2010

¹ MPEP §706.07(a)